App. F. IX-4

1936. (Mugrai

File No. 236-36

Previous References

Serial Nos. 1-6.

Mg 9 - 26 6

Letter References.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 6.

Part B for August-1937.

SUBJECT.

Appeal in regard to ~~the claim for~~ the Distn
Mariyada in the temple of Sri Lakshmi
Secretariat Nuggihalli Cha Sayar Taluk
claimed claimed by Mr N. Gopalakrishnaiyar

To. Mr N. Gopalakrishnaiya.
c/o Mr K.T. Bhashyam Iyengar BA
Advocate Bangalore

WD 0418—GPB—22,500—29-8 36

No 245 G. 1-8-37.

Intimate that his appeal is

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mys)

NOTES.

File No. 236-36

FILE HEADING.

Claim for the fourth Tirtha Mangala in the temple of Sri Lakshmi narasimha Swami at Nuggehalli Channarayapatna Taluk.

FROM N. Gopalakrishnaiah Postmaster. Nuggehalli Channarayapatna Taluk.

No. — Dated 8. 1. 1937 Received 9-1-37

Diary No. 644	Enclos. 1	Spare copies —	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Appeals against the order of the Inspector Commissioner in the matter of the Claim for the Fourth Tirtha Mangala in the temple of Sri Lakshmi narasimha Swamy at Nuggehalli — Channarayapatna Taluk.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The appeal preferred in this case by the petitioner subsequently dismissed for want of prosecution. The file may be called for.

7V 12-1-37

Jn 12/1/-

NOTES—First Sheet

WD 02552—GPB—5,000—10-7-30

Appeal re 4th Tirtha mahotsava
Sri Lakshminarasimhaswamy Kere
at Nuggehalli.

File No. 936 of 1936-7 | General and Revenue Secretariat

2nd.
2
11/1/-

The above petition was sent to the Mysore Court for favour of a report with entire records vide in no. 962 of 16-1-37

Letter no Atp 8/35-36 of 23-3-37 from the Mysore Commissioner forwarding records relating to the appeal petition of Gopalakrishniengar. Postmaster Nuggehalli-Channarayapatna Taluk Claiming fourth Tirtha mahotsava in the Sri Lakshminarasimhaswamy temple at the place. 3

The Office File on the subject is necessary and it was not received with the papers. Hence

- 11/1/-

Letter no 1454 of 3.5.37 from the Mysore Commissioner calling for additional records -

Ans 4 6/5

Letter no Atp 8/35-36 of 16/6/37 from the Mysore Commissioner submitting records relating to the 4th Tirtha Mahotsava in the temple of Sri Lakshminarasimha Swamy Nuggihalli - Channarayapatna Taluk - Claimed by the petitioner

The Maryade in Warm Temple of Nelli-Chavadi

Serial No.

7 The Temple of Sri Lakshmi Narasimha Swamy at Nuggihalli Channarayapatna Tk is a Major Mez. Instn ; an annual Cash Grant of Rs 63-15-0 is endowed for the benefit of the Institution.

Page 69 of the Cash Grant Reg.

8 The matter in dispute rests about the 4th Tirtha maryade in the above said Temple. The records do not disclose how these Tirtha maryades in the Temple have come into existence ; neither the Amildar nor the S-D-O have ascertained when & under whose orders the said maryades in the Temple have been in practice - No records on the subject are stated to be available in the Tk

x O

9) The Amildar while holding an enquiry has reported that Tirtha is given to 3 Archakapurushas in order & that the 4th Tirtha is given to an elderly Man of Mondegaha Gotra (Vide para 2 of the Amildars Report No C 115 33 dt 3 1 34) - The three Archakapurushas who are in receipt of these Tirtha maryades have in their Statements

Q

x G

of 6/12/33 stated before the Amildar when above officer.

10) Archak Ramchandra in his pet dt 28/11/35 has stated that there is no foundation here, that the Dharmadarshi directs him to do things as they like & that the Tirtha Maryade is given in this instance, subject to their will & pleasure.

Serial No.

when the matter came up before the D.C Hassan he has held that there is no sufficient material to hold that the Mondegalya Gothi people are entitled to the honour they claim the grant of land made by a member of the Gotra does not entitle the members of this Gotra to this honour. In these Circumstances the claim put forward (by the present appellant) has been rejected by him on the score that he was not in favour of creating new precedents. He has further opined that it is not a matter within the purview

11) Thereupon the present appellant has come in appeal before the M.C against the order of the D.C Hassan & the facts of the Case have been fully set forth in his order of 1). 10. 36. (Vide/Appeal No 8 of 35-36) After hearing the parties on 4. 9. 36, the M. C. has Confirmed the order of the D.C dismissed the appeal.

12) In none of the Courts below the appellant has produced any documentary evidence in Support of his Contention that the 4th Patta of Mangode belongs to the Members of the Mondegalya Gotra

Serial No.

which he belongs. It is respectfully submitted that in cases of this kind it is the manner that has to be adhered to. In the last para of the report of 31.12.33 the Amildar clearly says that it is an important institution and it speaks lack of interest in the Dharmadarsis when they did not go to the temple because the Jatra was not given according to their wishes & that they can create trouble. Whether there is any great force in this is a matter for consideration. The M.C. has been empowered to dispose of the claims for Agrathitha and other honors in temples (Vide para 5-(4) of Govt no 1933-4/Mug 26-26-6 of 21.4.28).

14 Sec[n] 38 (2) of the Mysore Rent Recn as since amended is as follows:-

An appeal will lie to the Govt against every order passed by the M.C provided that no such appeal shall lie to the Govt from an appellate decision passed by the M C except on a point of law or usage having the force of law. What Statutory

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0417 -GPB—30,000—8-9-36

Serial No.

This particular case is a matter for determination. Hiss xxxx is invited to Resolution No 14 in a meeting of the Dharmadarsis of the temple held on 26.11.1933 & the following words of the Amildar of 28/11/33 thereon :—

ಶ್ರೀ ಅರಕಲಗೂಡು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯವು ಅವರ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಈ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಅವರ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಈ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ."

15. Archak Rama Bhatta in his statement of 5/12/33 has stated that about 13 people who were not of Mondyalya Gotra & resided at Nuggihalli had been one after the other in succession of the 4th Tirtha Mantapa, that he does not do anything against the mamool, that on 19th night & 20th night he was persuaded by the Convenor Mr. Keshaviengar & Mr. Gopala Krishna Iyengar to go against mamool & as Sanctity has held in Conference the 4th Tirtha Mantapa so as not to give room for any disturbance

Serial No.

16. The appellant states that his claim to the fourth thirtha is based on mamool. But the mamool seems to be of recent origin, as it seems to owe its origin to the gift of some lands to the temple by one Smt. Chelluviengar on 6th July 1852. The Dy. Comr., Hassan &c. has held that there is no sufficient material to hold that the appellant is entitled to the honour on account of the grant of land. (Vide his order No. R-D-is.c.-2164-33 dated 9th March 1935) The archak of the temple states in his statement dated 5th Decr. 1933 that during the 54 years that he has been in service that the fourth mariyade was shown to the oldest Sri Vaishnava Brahmin present and that the resolution of the Dharmadarsis to give it to a Brahmin of Moudgalya gotra is an innovation. The order of the Dy. Comr. has been confirmed by the Inspl Comr. It is a point for orders whether there is any reason to interfere with the orders of the Inspl Comr. who

The Temple by Sir L was at Nullihalli. Canon TK General

Mang File No. 286 of 1936.

Serial No.

DEWAN'S OF
5 AUG. 1
No. 923

the grounds of appeal mentioned by the appellant.

The facts of the case are detailed in the Muzra commissioners order

XV

The Mamool of Tank Tirtha claimed by the appellants is not based on any grant or authority and the so-called usage is practically a recent one & is not so ancient as to justify its perpetuation. Admittedly it has not also been uninterrupted as several persons not of Mangalapuram have had their Tank Thirtha. No grounds exist to create an unnecessary undesirable precedent.

(9) The appeal may be rejected

Gen. Suit 27/7 For appellant 27/7 W

718
28.7.37.

First Member

Maybe rejected

JSR 12/8 W 4/8/37

Serial No.	
	D/s dtd 13.6.37 by Dy Sec (21.8.37)
b	No 245 dy 14-8-37 fm N. Gopalakrishna Iyengar intimating that his appeal is rejected Memo No 246 dy 14-8-37 to the Inspn Commissioner forwarding Colly yr above endorsement and connecting records received with his letter dy 26-6-37 on the subject.
B	19-8-37

File No. of 193 .

General am	
Serial No.	





GENERAL SECRET.
8 JAN. 1937

Mzy 236-36-1

THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Muzrai Appeal No. 21 of 1936-37.

Appellant:- N. Gopalakrishniengar, Postmaster,
Nuggehalli, Channarayapatna Taluk.

Respondant:- Venkataramiengar, Nuggehalli.

Subject :- Fourth Theertha Maryada in Sree Lakshami-Narasimha Swami Temple at Nuggehalli, Channarayapatna Taluk.

The appellant above named respectfully begs to appeal against the order dated 17-10-36 of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore in appeal No. 8 of 35-36 confirming the order of the Deputy Commissioner of Hassan on the above subject matter.

1. The appellant is of Moudgalya Gotra and is a permanent resident of Nuggehalli from the time of his ancestors. Sree Lakshaminarasimha Swami in the above named temple is his family deity and so also of other Sree Vaishnavas of the Hebbar community belonging to the same Gotra.

2. The respondant at whose instance the question of who is entitled to the fourth Theertha Maryada was raised, is not of Moudgalya Gotra and Sree Lakshaminarasimha Swami is not his family deity. He has not been a permanent resident of Nuggehalli.

3. The order of the Deputy Commissioner which is confirmed by the Muzrai Commissioner says "I have rejected the claim of the Moudgalya Gotra people for the fourth Theertha Maryada

in the temple as I do not think there is sufficient material to hold that these people are entitled to the honour they claim by reason of the grant of a land made by a member of that Gotra and further I am not also in favour of creating new precedents".

The claim is based upon Mamool extending over a long period almost amounting to a legal priscriptive right enjoyed by the members of the Gotra. It is not creating new precedents as the Deputy Commissioner seems to think. The Deputy Commissioner's order amounts to deprivation of an established longstanding right enjoyed by the members of the Gotra, are Endowments made by the members of referred to as an important circumstance which entitled the members of Moudgalya Gotra to special treatment by way of Theertha Marayada.

4. The claim of the respondant is not personal but is on behalf of the whole community of which he is a member. The claim as such is not maintainable in the Law.

5. The order of the Deputy Commissioner is not in accordance with the evidence of disinterested witnesses and probabilities of the case. Moreover it is too general and vogue and is therefore difficult to be carried out.

6. There is ample evidence of disinterested and elderly acharyapurushas who have from a long time been enjoying the privilege of the 1st, 2nd and 3rd maryadas and others and who as such have direct and first hand knowledge of the long standing enjoyment of the 4th Theertha Maryada

~~under~~maryada by the Moudgalyas. The appellant also has given statement before the Amildar whereas the respondant has not chosen to give a statement before the Amildar who first enquired into the matter.

7. The mere fact that on some occasions elderly persons of other Gotras were given the maryada at the instance and with the express consent of the member of the Moudgalya Gotra who was entitled, does not militate against the claim of the appellant. The learned Muzarai Commissioner erred in his conclusion that the explanation offerred was not convincing.

It is not difficult as observed by the Muzrai Commissioner to decide who all look upon Sree Lakshaminarasimha Swami as their family deity. So far as the appellant who has been a permanent resident of the place for ~~mmk~~ more than 40 years, is aware, among the Hebbar Iyengar Community the devotees of the deity are those belonging to the Moudgalya Gotra and the family of Mr. B. Garudachar, advocate Shimoga of a different Gotra.

9. Since 1923 to about July 1933 the appellant, being the eldest among the Moudgalyas, present was receiving the Maryada. When Mr. Lakshaminarasimhiengar came to the place, the Maryada was given to him, as he was older than the appellant, till about November 1933 when dispute arose.

10. The appellant submits that the gentlemen who are not devotees in the sense that they worship Sree Lakshami-Narasimha Swami as their family deity cannot claim the Maryada as a matter of right.

11. The appellant respectfully prays that the Government may be pleased to pass orders reversing the order of the Muzrai Commissioner and confirming the order of the

the Amildar recognising the right of the Moudgalyas, or in the alternative, directing the fourth Theertha Maryada to be given to the eldest of the Hebbar Iyengar devotees in the sense that they recognise Sree Lakshami-Narasimha Swami as their family deity. Appellant further prays that he may be ~~examined~~ heard before the final disposal of this appeal.

X ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್

J. N. Narasimhaiyengar
Mukhtar for appellant.

9 62/Mysz & 16-1-37

Forwarded with compliments to the Mysore Commissioner for favour of a report with entire records connected with the case.

By order

Asst. Secretary

9(9)/26-1
xml.

Appl No 8/35-36 Memo.

Forwarded with compliments to the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District for favour of submitting the entire records connected with the case

Mysz

M. N. Narayanaswamy
21.1.37
For Mysore Commissioner

2339-36
P.R 267-36

EIGHT ANNAS

In the Court of the Mysore Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore

App: No. 95/35-36.

Appellant: -

N. Gopalakrishna Iyengar
Postmaster, Nuggehalli,
Channapatna Taluk

Vs.

Respondent

Venkatramanaiengar
Nuggehalli.

Subject

Appeal against the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District rejecting the claim of the appellant relating to Nuggehalli Estate to the fourth Fishery auction in the Sri Lakshminarasimhaswami tank at Nuggehalli, Channapatna Taluk.

Facts of the case

The appellant's case is that the 4th Fishery auction in the Sri Lakshminarasimhaswami tank at Nuggehalli was held on the [illegible]
(illegible)
the auction was held [illegible]

passed a resolution on 20-11-1933
that the said right belonged to the
members of the Mandgalya family.
The Amildar examined 3 Acharya Purus.
of His Mutt of the temple. The
Talavya-purushare have said that
the said right belongs to the members
of the Mandgalya Gotra family but
that in certain occasions, old people
of other Gotras have also been given
the right of worship at the suggestion of the younger
generation Gotra people.
The enquiry was adjourned to the
Mandgalya Gotra people.

The Amildar passed an
ad-interim order which till the
finalisation of finally settled, the
Hindu marriages should be given
to the members of the Mandgalya
family.

The Amildar in his order
in the enquiry, has said that because
the Mandgalya Gotra
only three families belongs to the people,
others in that Gotra should be
their preference and that the
right of worship be allowed in
rotation by the members of the

The Deputy Commissioner,
in his order on appeal against, ob-
served that there was not
sufficient material to hold

not Kondgalya Gotra people. ...
entitled to the honor they claimed by
reason of the grant of the land ...
by a member of that Gotra and
seeked their claim. The Jamabandi
showed that he was not in ...
executing ... memorandums.

Hence the appeal

The case came up for hearing
... on the 6th ...

Civil Appl. No. 3/35-36
Decided 17.10.36

I have heard the appellant and
Counsel on the ... Having ...
carefully considered the ...
case, I am not satisfied
that the facts on record ...
the claim of the applicant. At best it is
merely said in ... that ...
to members of the Kondgalya Gotra
Neither can it ... that ...
merely ... Moreover the ...
persons will keep upon the ...
as their family ... If ...
of fact, it will be difficult to
decide ... but upon the ...
duty of the Hindu family ... If ...
it is ... that ... is
other Gotra ... from ...
from the ...

on the ground that ...
... the ...
... of the ...
... in the ...

way by saying that it was
to the good manners and courtesy
of the person then entitled to the
maintenance. This explanation is
at the very commencing. I therefore
confirm the Deputy Commissioner's
order and dismiss the appeal

Sd/ T. V. Ananthanarayana
Chief Commissioner

Copy of order for by M. N. V. Narasimhadhargar on 16-11-36
" ready on 17-11-36
" delivered on 27-11-36.

No. of words 460.
Copying fees 0-10-0
Comparing 0-2-6
Total 0-12-6.

Copied by S. Anjaneyachar
Compared by H. Rama Rao.

" Copy "
M. Ananthanarayana
Chief Commissioner
Mysore

LEGL. & REV. SECTT.
2 / MAR 1937



Govt of Mysore ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

Mysore A. 1936–37 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ. ಮಲ್ಲಿಕಾರ್ಜುನ ನಂಬರು.
ಅ ದಿ ಲ

ವಾದಿ		ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ
ಟಿ.ಆರ್. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ ಅಪೀಲುದಾರರು	Vs.	ಸರ್ಕಾರ್ ಆಫ್ ಮೈಸೂರ್

K. V. Krishnamurthy Iyengar

ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್ ಮು॥ ರಾ॥ ~~ಎಸ್. ವಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್~~, ಬಿ.ಎ., ಬಿ.ಎಲ್., ಮತ್ತು

,, ,, ಟಿ. ಆರ್. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್, ಬಿ.ಎ., ಎಲ್ಎಲ್. ಬಿ.,

appellant ಯವರಿಗೆ ಬರಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮ.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ನಂಬರು ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಫೈಸಲ್ ಹೊಂದತಕ್ಕಬಗ್ಯೂ, ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲೂ, ಅರ್ಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಕೊಡುವ ದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಡಿಕ್ರೀ ಮೊಬಲಗು ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಹ ಪಡೆದುಕ್ಕೊಂಡು ರಶೀತಿ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಗಾಗಿ ವಾಪಸು ಆಗುವ ದಾವಾ ಸ್ಟಾಂಪು, ವ್ರೊಸೆನ್ ಸ್ಟಾಂಪು ಸಹ ಪಡೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ದಕ್ಕೂ, ಮತ್ತು ನನ್ನ ಬಾಬತು ವಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಸಹ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಮಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನಡೆಸುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿ ಇರುವಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲು ಇದರಿಂದ ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇಧ್ದೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು Bangalore. 24. 12. 36. } x ರುಜು ಟಿ.ಆರ್. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್

ಈ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ಥರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುವರೆಂದು ನಾನು ಸರ್ಟಿಫೈ ಮಾಡಿಧೇನೆ.

ಈ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇಧ್ದೇನೆ.
K. V. Krishnamurthy Iyengar (?)

J.M. J. M. Srinivasa Iyengar A.N.

B. S. Jyengar, Printer, B.—City.

L. & REV. SEC ETA
27 MAR. 1937

O.App. No. 8/35-36.
Encl- one fete

OFFICE OF ... NER
IN

Bangalore,... 22nd March ...193 7.
23

Muz 236-36-3

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

To

V. Vedavyasacharya, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
..... General .... *Department.*

GENERAL SECRETARY.
25 MAR. 1937

SIR,

Appeal petition of Mr. N. Gopaliar in re. the 4th Tirtha Mariyade in Sri Lakshminarasimhaswami Temple at Nuggehalli.

Adverting to your Memo No.962/Muz. dated 16-1-1937 calling for the entire records in re. the above, I have the honor to forward herewith the file of vernacular papers received with the Hassan District Deputy Commissioner's letter No.C.2339-36 dated 24th February 1937, as also this office file No.App.8/35-36 on the subject.

The petition received with your Memo under advertance is returned herewith.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 3rd May 193 7

No. 1654/Mg 236-36-24

From The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

SUBJECT:- Fourth Tirtha Maryade in the temple of Sri Lakshminarasimhaswami at Nuggehalli, Channarayapatna Taluk.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.Appl.No.8/35-36 dated 23rd March 1937 submitting the records on the subject referred to above, I am directed to state that the records of the Deputy Commissioner's Office on the subject have not been receive with the records forwarded by you and to request you to be so good as to obtain and forward them for reference together with authoritative papers if any that have a bearing on the existence of the fourth Tirtha aryade in the temple. The records received from you are herewith returned for reference and retransmission with the records

)w called for.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Mir Safdar Hussain

General Secretary to Government.

[F G—1]

BJECT .....

.....

.....

3 (Copies)

Diary No. of letter replied to ....4 .. ..

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 3.5.37.

Signed by Mr. Safdar Hussain.

List of enclosures.....

TYPING SECT
1. MAY 1937

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section

File No. 236-31

Serial No. 6

No. 1454 & 2 files

To

The M.C.

Subject: re Annual Tirtha Mahotsava in the temple of Sri Lakshmi-Narasimha Swamy at Nuggehalli Channarayapatna T.Q.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. A/31 No 5/3 dated 23.3-37 submitting the records on the subject mentioned to above, I have direction to state that the records of the 4 cases sent on the subject have not been received with your letter and the records have not been received by you to-date.

Orders of the Addl Secy 7/5
=Secy

any one have a hearing on
the existence of the 4th Twitter
language in the ~~last~~ bundle.
The records ~~sent~~ from received are
hereby returned for reference and
retransmission with the records
now called for.

Thank you

2/15/

Forgan Lee

Grace
3574

No. App. 8 Encs 8 files.
35-36



OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

24

Bangalore, 24th June *193*7

Muz. 236-36-5

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

To



M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*

SIR,

Appeal Petition of Mr. N. Gopalakrishna Iyengar in re. the 4th Tirtha Mariyade in Sri Lakshminarasimha Swamy Temple at Nuggehalli.

Adverting to your letter No.1454/Muz.236-36-4 dated the 3rd May 1937 calling for the records of the Deputy Commissioner's Office in re. the above, as also authoritative papers, if any, on the subject, I have the honor to forward herewith the marginally noted three files of the Deputy Commissioner's Office received with his letter No.C.2339/36 dated the 7th June 1937, as also this office file No. App.8/35-36 and the vernacular records on the subject, and to state that beyond these, no other papers on the subject are available.

No.L.Dis.C.2294-34 dated 3- 8-1935.
" R.Dis.C.2164-33 " 9- 3-1935.
" R.Dis.C.1172-35 " 19-10-1936.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner

No. 245

Mg 236-36-6

46
RS

GPRs Bangalore

15th August 1937.

Endorsement.

To

Mr. Gopalakrishnaiengar, Trust Auctr., Jaggehalli, Dharmarayapatna Taluk, care of Mr. A.S. Bhashyam Iyengar, B.A., B.L., and Mr. L.S. Srinivasa Iyengar, B.A., B.L., Advocates, Bangalore City.

...

With reference to his petition received on 8th January 1937 appealing against the orders of the Local Board over his claim regarding the claim of the Agnikal Brahmin people for the Teerth Kirtha Karyam in the temple of Sri Varadharajaswami at Jaggehalli, Dharmarayapatna Taluk, Mr. Gopalakrishnaiengar, is informed that Government see no reason to interfere with the orders of the Local Board in this matter. His appeal is rejected.

By order,

Sd. M. Ramatesa Iyengar,
Under Secretary to Government.

vvr.4.

No.No. 246/Mg 236-36

Dated, Bangalore, 14th August 1937.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments with the connected records received with his letter No.Mg.8,35-36 dated the 26th June 1937 on the subject.

By order,

for General Secretary to Government.

F G—1]

SUBJECT ....

....

....

Section Muz

File No. 236–36

Serial No. 6.

[illegible]

Diary No. of letter replied to 644 d 1 / 36-37 37-38

No. 245

To

Mr N. Gopalakrishnaiengar
(Portmaker Naggehalli
Channarayapatna Tk)
C/o Mr K. T. Bhashyam
B.A. B.L.

~~Mr T. R. Srinivasaiengar B.A. LL.B.~~
Advocates Bangalore
City

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 14-8-37

Signed by K Venkataramana

With refce to his petn received on 8/1/37 appealing against the order of the M.C. regarding the claim of the Moudgalya Gotra people for the fourth Tirtha Maryade in the temple of Sri Lakshminarasimha Swami at Naggehalli Channarayapatna Tk, Mr N. Gopalakrishnaiengar is informed that

List of enclosures ....

Copied by ....

Examined by ....

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20-7-31

orders [illegible] here [illegible]

Sc
[illegible]

Mallik that his ~~the~~ appeal
is rejected

O.O

Lw
13/6

246 mc/1+2 file, 7 a Gluckee

Mrs

with compliments with the connected
Cerg H.M.C. ~~Admiralty~~ records
Received with his letter
No 1.10p 8/35: 86 dy 26/6/37
on the Judge file

O.O

Lw

Judge Seq